# The search for mathmematical truth

W. Hugh Woodin

Harvard University November 17, 2010

# The projective sets

## Definition

A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is *projective* if it can be generated from the open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in finitely many steps of taking complements and images by continuous functions,

$$f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n.$$

### Definition

Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . A function *f* uniformizes *A* if for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

▶ if there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(x, y) \in A$  then  $(x, f(x)) \in A$ .

## Two questions of Luzin

### Two questions of Luzin

- 1. Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is projective. Can A be uniformized by a projective function?
- 2. Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is projective. Is A Lebesgue measurable and does A have the property of Baire?

Luzin's questions are questions about  $\langle \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mathbb{N}, \cdot, +, \in \rangle$ 

Both questions are unsolvable on the basis of the  $\mathrm{ZFC}$  axioms

## Determinacy and the answers to Luzin's questions

Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . There is an associated infinite game involving two players.

- The players alternate choosing  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ► After infinitely many moves an infinite binary sequence (ǫ<sub>i</sub> : i ∈ ℕ) is defined.
- Player I wins this run of the game if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i / 2^i \in A$$

otherwise Player II wins.

### Definition

The set A is *determined* if there is a winning strategy for one of the players in the game associated to A.

# The Axiom of Determinacy (AD)

## Definition (Mycielski-Steinhaus)

Axiom of Determinacy (AD): Every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is determined.

## Lemma (Axiom of Choice)

There is a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that A is not determined.

Corollary

AD is false.

# Projective Determinacy (PD)

#### Definition

Projective Determinacy (PD): Every projective set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is determined.

## Theorem

Assume every projective set is determined.

- (1) (Mycielski-Steinhaus) Every projective set has the property of Baire.
- (2) (Mycielski-Swierczkowski) Every projective set is Lebesgue measurable.
- (3) (Moschovakis) Every projective set A ⊆ ℝ × ℝ can be uniformized by a projective function.

### Key questions

Is PD even consistent and if consistent, is PD true?

Basic notions: Logical definability from parameters

• A set N is *transitive* if  $A \subset N$  for all  $A \in N$ .

- A transitive set N is an ordinal if (N, ∈) is a totally ordered set.
- $\omega$  is the least infinite ordinal,  $(\omega, \in) \cong (\mathbb{N}, <)$ .
- $\omega_1$  is the least uncountable ordinal.

### Definition

Suppose *N* is a transitive set. A subset  $X \subseteq N$  is logically definable in  $(N, \in)$  from parameters if for some formula  $\varphi[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  and for some parameters  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in N$ ,

$$X = \{a \in N \mid (N, \in) \models \varphi[a, a_1, \dots, a_n]\}$$

## Basic notions: Elementary embeddings

#### Definition

Suppose *N* and *M* are transitive sets. A function  $j: N \to M$  is an *elementary embedding* if for all logical formulas  $\varphi[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  and all  $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in N$ ,

 $(N, \in) \models \varphi[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$  if and only if  $(M, \in) \models \varphi[j(a_0), \ldots, j(a_n)]$ 

#### Lemma

Suppose that  $j : N \to M$  is an elementary embedding and that  $N \models \text{ZFC}$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) *j* is not the identity.
- (2) There is an ordinal  $\beta \in N$  such that  $j(\beta) \neq \beta$ .

# Strong axioms of infinity: large cardinal axioms

#### Basic template for large cardinal axioms

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is a large cardinal if there exists an elementary embedding,

$$j: V \to M$$

such that *M* is a transitive class and  $\kappa$  is the least ordinal such that  $j(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ .

- Requiring *M* be *close* to *V* yields a hierarchy of large cardinal axioms:
  - simplest case is where  $\kappa$  is a *measurable cardinal*.
- M = V contradicts the Axiom of Choice.

# The validation of Projective Determinacy

### Theorem (Martin-Steel)

Assume there are infinitely many Woodin cardinals. Then every projective set is determined.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent.

- (1) Every projective set is determined.
- (2) For each  $k < \omega$  there is a countable (iterable) transitive set N such that

 $N \models \text{ZFC} +$  "There exist k Woodin cardinals",

 $\mathrm{PD}$  is the missing (and true) axiom for  $\langle \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mathbb{N}, \cdot, +, \in \rangle$ 

Is there such an axiom for V itself?

# Mathematical truth and two modest claims

Large cardinal axioms predict facts about our world.

### Prediction

There will be no contradiction discovered from PD (by any means) before the year 3010.

There will be no contradiction discovered from  $\mathrm{PD}$  (by any means) before all the Clay Millennium problems have been solved.

A controversial claim.

#### Claim

Consistency claims for large cardinal axioms **require** a conception of the Universe of Sets in which large cardinals axioms are true.

- This ultimately requires that questions such as that of the Continuum Hypothesis also be resolved
  - or an explanation of the exact nature of the ambiguity.

Basic notions: The cumulative hierarchy

• If X is a set then  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the set of all subsets of X:

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y \mid Y \subseteq X\}.$$

The von Neumann cumulative hierarchy of sets

1. 
$$V_0 = \emptyset$$
.

- 2. (Successor case)  $V_{\alpha+1} = \mathcal{P}(V_{\alpha})$ .
- 3. (Limit case)  $V_{\alpha} = \cup \{ V_{\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha \}.$

# The effective cumulative hierarchy: L

#### The definable power set

For each set X,  $\mathcal{P}_{Def}(X)$  denotes the set of all  $Y \subseteq X$  such that X is logically definable in the structure  $(X, \in)$  from parameters in X.

- (Axiom of Choice)  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Def}}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  if and only if X is finite.
- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Def}}(V_{\omega+1}) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is exactly the projective sets.

#### Gödel's constructible universe, L

Define  $L_{\alpha}$  by induction on  $\alpha$  as follows.

1. 
$$L_0 = \emptyset$$
,

- 2. (Successor case)  $L_{\alpha+1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Def}}(L_{\alpha})$ ,
- 3. (Limit case)  $L_{\alpha} = \cup \{L_{\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha\}.$

L is the class of all sets X such that  $X \in L_{\alpha}$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ .

The axiom V = L, the projective sets, and large cardinals

#### Theorem

Assume V = L.

- (1) (Gödel) Every projective set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  can be uniformized by a projective function.
- (2) (Gödel) There is a projective set which is not Lebesgue measurable:
  - there is a projective wellordering of the reals.
- (3) (Scott) There are no measurable cardinals:
  - there are no (interesting) large cardinals.

## (meta) Corollary

 $V \neq L$ .

# Basic notions: Enlargements of L, generalizing the projective sets

#### Definition

- 1.  $L_0(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2. (Successor case)  $L_{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Def}}(L_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}))$ ,
- 3. (Limit case)  $L_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}) = \cup \{L_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \beta < \alpha\}.$

 $L(\mathbb{R})$  is the class of all sets a such that  $a \in L_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $\alpha$ .

- P(ℝ) ∩ L(ℝ) is a transfinite extension of the hierarchy of the projective sets.
- ► Assuming there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals then L(ℝ) |= AD.

Suppose  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then one defines  $L(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  by setting  $L_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \cup \Gamma \cup \{\Gamma\}$ .

## Forcing axioms

#### Definition

Suppose  $\mathbb B$  is a complete Boolean algebra and  $\kappa$  is a cardinal.

The  $\kappa$ -Baire Category Theorem holds for  $\mathbb{B}$  if the following holds in  $\Omega$  where  $\Omega$  is the Stone space of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

- Suppose A is a family of open dense subsets of Ω and |A| ≤ κ. Then ∩A is dense in Ω.
- The ω<sub>1</sub>-Baire Category Theorem *cannot* hold for all complete Boolean algebras.

#### Question

For which complete Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  can the  $\omega_1$ -Baire Category Theorem hold for  $\mathbb{B}$ ?

# Stationary sets in $\omega_1$

### Definition

- 1. A cofinal set  $C \subseteq \omega_1$  is closed and unbounded if if for all limit ordinals  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , if  $C \cap \alpha$  is cofinal in  $\alpha$  then  $\alpha \in C$ .
- 2. A set  $S \subset \omega_1$  is stationary if

$$S \cap C \neq \emptyset$$

for all closed unbounded sets  $C \subset \omega_1$ .

Assuming the Axiom of Choice, there exist sets  $S \subset \omega_1$  such that both S and  $\omega_1 \setminus S$  are stationary.

# Stationary set preserving

#### Definition

A complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is *stationary set preserving* if the following holds for all  $c \in \mathbb{B}$  with c > 0, for all sequences

 $\langle b_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ 

of elements of  $\mathbb{B}$ , and for all stationary sets  $S \subseteq \omega_1$ .

• If 
$$c \leq \vee \{b_{\alpha} \mid \beta < \alpha < \omega_1\}$$
 for all  $\beta < \omega_1$ ,

then there exists  $\eta \in S$  and  $0 < d \leq c$  such that

• 
$$d \leq \vee \{ b_{\alpha} \mid \beta < \alpha < \eta \}$$
 for all  $\beta < \eta$ .

# Martin's Maximum

## Theorem (Foreman, Magidor, Shelah)

Suppose that  $\mathbb{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra and that the  $\omega_1$ -Baire Category Theorem holds for  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Then  $\mathbb{B}$  is stationary set preserving.

## Definition (Foreman, Magidor, Shelah)

**Martin's Maximum**: The  $\omega_1$ -Baire Category Theorem holds for all stationary set preserving complete Boolean algebras.

#### Theorem (Foreman, Magidor, Shelah)

Suppose there is a supercompact cardinal. Then there is a stationary set preserving complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb B$  such that

 $V^{\mathbb{B}} \models$  Martin's Maximum.

# Consequences of Martin's Maximum

Theorem (Foreman, Magidor, Shelah)

Assume Martin's Maximum. Then  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .

## Theorem (Martin's Maximum)

Suppose that

$$\pi: C([0,1)] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is an algebra homomorphism of C([0,1]) into a Banach algebra A. Then  $\pi$  is continuous.

# Second generation results

#### Lemma

There are 2 infinite total orders such that every infinite total order contains an isomorphic copy of them.

▶  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, <)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^-, <)$ 

Theorem (Martin's Maximum: J. Moore)

There are 5 uncountable total orders such that every uncountable total order contains an isomorphic copy of one of them.

## The Brown-Douglas-Filmore Problem

## Question (Brown-Douglas-Filmore)

Suppose that

$$\pi:\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$$

is an automorphism. Must  $\pi$  be an inner automorphism?

Theorem (CH: Phillips and Weaver)

There is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$  which is not an inner automorphism.

Theorem (Martin's Maximum: I. Farah)

Every automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$  is an inner automorphism.

# Is Martin's Maximum true?

## Definition

Suppose that  $\kappa$  is a infinite regular cardinal.  $H(\kappa)$  is the set of all sets X such that there is a transitive set Y such that

1.  $X \in Y$ , 2.  $|Y| < \kappa$ .

Several interesting cases.

- 1.  $H(\omega_1)$ . This is logically equivalent to  $V_{\omega+1}$ .
- 2.  $H(c^+)$ . This is logically equivalent to  $V_{\omega+2}$ .
- 3.  $H(\omega_2)$ .
  - Assuming CH,  $H(\omega_2)$  is logically equivalent to  $V_{\omega+2}$ .
  - Assuming Martin's Maximum, H(ω<sub>2</sub>) is not logically equivalent to V<sub>ω+2</sub>.

# The structure $(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{_{\rm NS}})$

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{I}_{_{\rm NS}}$  is the ideal of all non-stationary subsets of  $\omega_1.$ 

## Lemma (Martin's Maximum)

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_2$  sentence and that there is a stationary set preserving Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that

$$V^{\mathbb{B}}\models$$
 " $H(\omega_2)\models \varphi$ "

Then  $H(\omega_2) \models \varphi$ .

# $\Pi_2$ -maximality

#### Observation

Martin's Maximum is attempting to maximize the  $\Pi_2$ -theory of  $(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{NS})$ .

#### Definition

A  $\Pi_2$ -sentence  $\varphi$  is  $\Omega$ -satisfiable for  $(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{_{\rm NS}})$  if there is a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb B$  such that

$$V^{\mathbb{B}} \models "(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{NS}) \models \varphi".$$

#### ▶ No requirement that B be stationary set preserving.

## Theorem (Π<sub>2</sub>-Maximality)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. There is a partial order  $\mathbb{P}_{\max} \in L(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following hold.

- (1)  $\mathbb{P}_{\max}$  is homogeneous and  $\omega$ -closed.
- (2)  $L(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{P}_{\max}} \models ZFC.$
- (3) Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_2$ -sentence which is  $\Omega$ -satisfiable for  $(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{_{\rm NS}})$ . Then

$$L(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{P}_{\max}} \models ``(H(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}_{NS}) \models \varphi".$$

## The Axiom (\*)

1.  $L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD$ .

2. There is an  $L(\mathbb{R})$ -generic filter  $G \subset \mathbb{P}_{\max}$  such that

 $H(\omega_2) \subset L(\mathbb{R})[G].$ 

Extending the Axiom (\*) to  $H(c^+)$ : Universally Baire sets

## Definition (Feng-Magidor-Woodin)

A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is *universally* Baire if for all topological spaces  $\Omega$  and for all continuous functions  $\pi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the preimage of A by  $\pi$  has the property of Baire in the space  $\Omega$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is universally Baire.

Then every set  $B \in L(A, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is universally Baire.

# An abstract generalization of the projective sets

#### Theorem (Martin-Steel, Woodin)

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is universally Baire.

Then A is determined.

Theorem (Steel)

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is universally Baire.

Then A can be uniformized by a universally Baire function.

# The ultimate forcing axiom?

## The Axiom $(*)^+$

There is a proper class of measurable Woodin cardinals. Let  $\Gamma$  be the collection of all universally Baire sets.

- 1.  $\Gamma = L(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}).$
- 2. There is an L( $\Gamma)$ -generic filter  $G\subset \mathbb{P}_{\max}$  such that

 $H(c^+) \subset L(\Gamma)[G].$ 

▶ The Axiom (\*)<sup>+</sup> implies the Axiom (\*).

# Measuring the complexity of universally Baire sets: calibrating Axiom $(*)^+$

#### Definition

Suppose A and B are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. A is borel reducible to B,  $A \leq_{\text{borel}} B$ , if there is a borel function  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

• either  $A = \pi^{-1}[B]$  or  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi^{-1}[B]$ .

2. A and B are borel bi-reducible if  $A \leq_{\text{borel}} B$  and  $B \leq_{\text{borel}} A$ .

3. The *borel degree* of A is the equivalence class of all sets which are borel bi-reducible with A.

#### Theorem (Martin-Steel, Martin, Wadge)

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals.

Then the borel degrees of the universally Baire sets are linearly ordered by borel reducibility and moreover this is a wellorder.

#### Claim

The Axiom (\*) is the ultimate forcing axiom as far as the structure of  $H(\omega_2)$  is concerned. This is certified by:

- The Π<sub>2</sub>-Maximality Theorem.
- ► The extension of (\*) to (\*)<sup>+</sup>.

#### Theorem

Assume there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and that the Axiom (\*) holds.

Then  $H(\omega_2)$  is logically bi-interpretable with  $H(\omega_1)$ .

#### Conclusion

The ultimate forcing axiom logically reduces  $H(\omega_2)$  to  $H(\omega_1)$ .

# In search of V ... a generic-multiverse of sets?

Suppose that M is a countable transitive set and that

 $M \models \text{ZFC}.$ 

Let  $\mathbb{V}_M$  be the smallest set of countable transitive sets such that  $M \in \mathbb{V}_M$  and such that for all pairs,  $(M_1, M_2)$ , of countable transitive sets, if

- 1.  $M_1 \models \text{ZFC}$ ,
- 2.  $M_2$  is a generic extension of  $M_1$ ,

3.  $M_1 \in \mathbb{V}_M$  or  $M_2 \in \mathbb{V}_M$ ,

then both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are in  $\mathbb{V}_M$ .

#### Definition

 $\mathbb{V}_M$  is the *generic-multiverse* generated from M.

Evaluating truth in the generic-multiverse...

#### Theorem

For each sentence  $\varphi$  there is a sentence  $\varphi^*$  such that for all countable transitive sets M if

 $M \models \operatorname{ZFC}$ 

then the following are equivalent.

1. 
$$M \models \varphi^*$$
,

2. For all  $N \in \mathbb{V}_M$ ,  $N \models \varphi$ .

## The generic-multiverse view of truth

A  $\Pi_2$ -sentence,  $\varphi$ , is a generic-multiverse truth if  $\varphi$  holds in each universe of the generic-multiverse generated by V.

#### Theorem

Suppose there is a proper class of strongly inaccessible cardinals. Then the following are equivalent in the generic-multiverse view of truth (each if true implies the truth of the other).

1. 
$$L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD$$
.

2.  $L(\mathbb{R}) \not\models$  Axiom of Choice.

# $\Omega$ -logic (The logic of the generic-multiverse)

# Definition Suppose $\varphi$ is a $\Pi_2$ -sentence. Then $\models_{\Omega} \varphi$ if $\varphi$ holds in all generic extensions of V.

#### Theorem

Suppose there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_2$ -sentence.

Then  $\varphi$  is a generic-multiverse truth if and only if  $\models_{\Omega} \varphi$ .

## Universally Baire sets and strong closure

### Definition

Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is universally Baire and suppose that M is a countable transitive set such that  $M \models \text{ZFC}$ .

Then M is strongly A-closed if for all countable transitive sets N such that N is a generic extension of M,

 $A \cap N \in N$ .

# The definition of $\vdash_{\Omega} \varphi$

#### Definition

Suppose there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_2\text{-sentence.}$ 

Then  $\vdash_{\Omega} \varphi$  if there exists a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- 1. A is universally Baire,
- 2. for all countable transitive sets,  $M \models \text{ZFC}$ , if M is strongly *A*-closed then

$$M\models ``\models_{\Omega} \varphi".$$

# The $\Omega$ Conjecture

#### Theorem ( $\Omega$ Soundness)

Suppose that there exists a proper class of Woodin cardinals and suppose that  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_2$ -sentence.

If  $\vdash_{\Omega} \varphi$  then  $\models_{\Omega} \varphi$ 

## Definition ( $\Omega$ **Conjecture**)

Suppose that there exists a proper class of Woodin cardinals and suppose that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_2$ -sentence.

Then  $\models_{\Omega} \varphi$  if and only if  $\vdash_{\Omega} \varphi$ .

#### Theorem

The  $\Omega$  Conjecture is invariant across the generic-multiverse.

The  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  Conjecture and generic-multiverse view of truth

## Definition

- 1.  $T_0$  is the set of sentences  $\varphi$  such that  $\models_{\Omega} ``H(\omega_2) \models \varphi"$ .
- 2. *T* is the set of  $\Pi_2$ -sentences  $\varphi$  such that  $\models \varphi$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and that the  $\Omega$  Conjecture holds.

Then T is (recursively) reducible to  $T_0$ .

#### Claim

If there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and the  $\Omega$  Conjecture holds then the generic-multiverse view of truth is **not** viable.

The generic-multiverse view of truth is simply a form of formalism; it reduces truth to Third Order Number Theory.

#### Claim

If there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and the  $\Omega$  Conjecture holds then there is no (mathematical) evidence that the Continuum Hypothesis has no answer.

# Gödel's transitive class HOD

## Definition

 $\mathrm{HOD}$  is the class of all sets X such that there exist  $\alpha\in\mathrm{Ord}$  and  $Y\subseteq\alpha$  such that

- 1. Y is definable in  $V_{\alpha}$  without parameters,
- 2.  $X \in L[Y]$ .

▶ (ZF) The Axiom of Choice holds in HOD.

# $\operatorname{HOD}$ and the $\Omega$ Conjecture

## Definition

A set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is ordinal definable if there exists an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that A is definable in  $V_{\alpha}$  without parameters.

#### Theorem

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and that for all sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if A is ordinal definable then A is universally Baire.

```
Then HOD \models " The \Omega Conjecture ".
```

# $HOD^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$ and large cardinal axioms

#### Definition

Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $HOD^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$  is the class HOD as defined within  $L(A,\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Definition

Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is universally Baire.

Then  $\Theta^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$  is the supremum of the ordinals  $\alpha$  such that there is a surjection,  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \to \alpha$ , such that  $\pi \in L(A,\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and that A is universally Baire.

Then  $\Theta^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$  is a Woodin cardinal in  $HOD^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$ .

# $\mathrm{HOD}^{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{R})}$ and enlargements of $\mathcal{L}$

## Theorem (Steel)

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals and let  $\delta = \Theta^{L(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Then  $\operatorname{HOD}^{L(\mathbb{R})} \cap V_{\delta}$  is a Mitchell-Steel inner model.

#### Theorem

Suppose that there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then  $HOD^{L(\mathbb{R})}$  is **not** a Mitchell-Steel inner model.

## Ultimate L

## (Conjecture) The axiom scheme for V = ultimate L

There is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Further for each sentence  $\varphi$ , if  $\varphi$  holds in V then there is a universally Baire set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathrm{HOD}^{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{R})}\cap V_{\Theta}\models \varphi$$

where  $\Theta = \Theta^{L(A,\mathbb{R})}$ .

## Two possible futures

#### Future 1: The axiom "V = ultimate L" is false

Assume there is a proper class of supercompact cardinals. The following must hold.

- ► There is a ordinal definable set A ⊂ ℝ which is not universally Baire.
- Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all universally Baire sets. Then  $\Gamma = L(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Future 2: The axiom "V = ultimate L" is possibly true

Assume there is a proper class of supercompact cardinals. The following must hold.

There exists an infinite cardinal κ such that

$$\kappa^+ = (\kappa^+)^{\text{HOD}}$$

## (meta) Conjecture

This axiom "V = ultimate L" will be validated on the basis of compelling and accepted principles of infinity just as the axiom PD has been.

- This axiom will reduce all questions of Set Theory to axioms of infinity,
  - ending the age of (forcing) independence.