

# Diagonal stationary reflection and generic ultrapowers

Sean Cox

Institut für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung  
Universität Münster

`wwwmath.uni-muenster.de/logik/Personen/Cox`

MAMLS 2011, Harvard  
February 19, 2011

# Main Theme

Strong forcing axioms (MM, PFA) imply the existence of ideals with interesting generic ultrapowers; these generic ultrapowers have critical point  $\omega_2$ .

# Main Theme

Strong forcing axioms (MM, PFA) imply the existence of ideals with interesting generic ultrapowers; these generic ultrapowers have critical point  $\omega_2$ .

Vaguely: For sufficiently large classes  $\Gamma$  of posets,  $MA(\Gamma)$  implies there are ideals  $I$  whose positive-set forcings are “almost” in  $\Gamma$ .

## Motivation: Condensation

The (duals of the) ideals will concentrate on  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  which have condensation-like properties.

## Motivation: Condensation

The (duals of the) ideals will concentrate on  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  which have condensation-like properties.

Gödel's Condensation Lemma for L: whenever  $M \prec (H_\theta, \in)$ , if  $\sigma_M : H_M \rightarrow H_\theta$  is the inverse of Mostowski Collapse of  $M$  then for every  $\alpha \in H_M \cap ORD$ :  $(L_\alpha)^{H_M} = L_\alpha$ .

So the function  $\alpha \mapsto L_\alpha$  *condenses on  $M$* .

# Motivation: Two condensation-like principles under strong forcing axioms

Strong forcing axioms imply condensation-like properties:

- ▶ (Viale-Weiss) Proper Forcing Axiom implies ISP
- ▶ (Foreman) Martin's Maximum implies highly simultaneous (“diagonal”) stationary set reflection

# Motivation: A condensation principle under PFA

## Theorem

(Viale/Weiss): Assume PFA and fix regular  $\Omega \gg \theta \geq \omega_2$ . There are stationarily many  $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega_2}(H_\Omega)$  such that whenever  $N \mapsto F(N) \subset N$  is a *slender* function on  $\mathcal{S}_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  and  $F \in M$ , then  $M$  “catches”  $F$ .

# Motivation: A condensation principle under PFA

## Theorem

(Viale/Weiss): Assume PFA and fix regular  $\Omega \gg \theta \geq \omega_2$ . There are stationarily many  $M \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}(H_\Omega)$  such that whenever  $N \mapsto F(N) \subset N$  is a *slender* function on  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  and  $F \in M$ , then  $M$  “catches”  $F$ .

- ▶ i.e. if  $\sigma : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  is inverse of trans. collapse and  $Y := F(M \cap H_\theta)$ , then  $\sigma^{-1} \upharpoonright Y \subset \bar{M}$  is an element of  $\bar{M}$
- ▶ So  $M$  detects a lot of 2nd order information about itself.



# Motivation: A condensation principle under MM

## Theorem

(Foreman): Assume MM and suppose  $\theta \subset H \subseteq H_\theta$  where  $|H| = \theta$ . Fix a partition  $\langle R_i \mid i \in H \rangle$  of  $\theta \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  into stationary sets.

Then there are stationarily many (internally approachable)  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(H)$  such that:

$$R_i \text{ reflects to } \sup(M \cap \theta) \iff i \in M \quad (1)$$

# Motivation: A condensation principle under MM

## Theorem

(Foreman): Assume MM and suppose  $\theta \subset H \subseteq H_\theta$  where  $|H| = \theta$ . Fix a partition  $\langle R_i \mid i \in H \rangle$  of  $\theta \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  into stationary sets.

Then there are stationarily many (internally approachable)  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(H)$  such that:

$$R_i \text{ reflects to } \sup(M \cap \theta) \iff i \in M \quad (1)$$

- ▶ This implies: if  $\sigma : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$  is inverse of collapse map, then  $\bar{M}$  is correct about stationarity of every  $\sigma^{-1}(R_i)$  (for  $R_i \in M$  from the fixed partition).
- ▶ Again,  $M$  detects some 2nd order information.

# Outline

- ▶ Notation and background
- ▶ Stationary set reflection and connections with:
  - ▶ Condensation of NS
  - ▶ Generic embeddings with critical point  $\omega_2$
- ▶ The Diagonal Reflection Principle (DRP)
- ▶ Forcing axioms imply DRP
  - ▶ And a detour involving  $MA(\Gamma)$  and ideals whose positive-set-forcings are in  $\Gamma$

# Notation and background

- ▶  $\wp_\kappa(H_\theta) := \{M \prec H_\theta \mid |M| < \kappa \text{ and } M \cap \kappa \in \kappa\}$
- ▶  $\text{IA}_{\omega_1}$  is the class of  $M$  such that there is some  $\in$ -increasing, continuous elementary chain  $\langle N_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  of countable elementary submodels of  $M$  such that
  - ▶  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} N_\alpha = M$
  - ▶ Every proper initial segment of  $\vec{N}$  is element of  $M$
- ▶  $\text{IC}_{\omega_1}$  defined similarly, except only require each  $N_\alpha \in M$  (equiv:  $M \cap [M]^\omega$  contains a club)

# Notation and background

- ▶  $\wp_\kappa(H_\theta) := \{M \prec H_\theta \mid |M| < \kappa \text{ and } M \cap \kappa \in \kappa\}$
- ▶  $\text{IA}_{\omega_1}$  is the class of  $M$  such that there is some  $\in$ -increasing, continuous elementary chain  $\langle N_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  of countable elementary submodels of  $M$  such that
  - ▶  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} N_\alpha = M$
  - ▶ Every proper initial segment of  $\vec{N}$  is element of  $M$
- ▶  $\text{IC}_{\omega_1}$  defined similarly, except only require each  $N_\alpha \in M$  (equiv:  $M \cap [M]^\omega$  contains a club)

This talk focuses on  $\wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta) \cap \text{IC}_{\omega_1}$ .

# Stationary set reflection

## Definition

A set  $S$  *reflects at*  $\gamma$  iff  $S \cap \gamma$  is stationary in  $\gamma$ . A set  $S \subset \kappa$  *reflects* iff there is a  $\gamma < \kappa$  s.t.  $S$  reflects at  $\gamma$ .

# Stationary set reflection

## Definition

A set  $S$  *reflects at*  $\gamma$  iff  $S \cap \gamma$  is stationary in  $\gamma$ . A set  $S \subset \kappa$  *reflects* iff there is a  $\gamma < \kappa$  s.t.  $S$  reflects at  $\gamma$ .

If  $\kappa$  is measurable (or just weakly compact), then:

- ▶ every stationary subset of  $\kappa$  reflects.
- ▶  $V^{Col(\omega_1, < \kappa)} \models$  “every stationary subset of  $\omega_2 \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  reflects”
  - ▶ The quoted statement is equiconsistent with a Mahlo cardinal (Harrington/Shelah)

# Simultaneous stationary reflection

We can also require distinct sets to have a common reflection point. If  $\kappa$  is measurable, then:

- ▶ Every  $< \kappa$ -sized collection of stationary sets have a common reflection point
- ▶  $\bigvee^{Col(\omega_1, < \kappa)} \models$  “every  $\omega_1$ -sized collection of stationary subsets of  $\omega_2 \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  have a common reflection point”
  - ▶ The quoted statement is equiconsistent with a weakly compact cardinal (Magidor)



## Generalized stationary reflection

For stationary  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$ , say  $R$  reflects to  $M$  iff  $R \cap [M]^\omega$  is stationary in  $[M]^\omega$ .

- ▶ i.e. for every algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M$ , there is an  $N \in R$  with  $N \prec \mathcal{A}$ .

# Generalized stationary reflection

For stationary  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$ , say  $R$  reflects to  $M$  iff  $R \cap [M]^\omega$  is stationary in  $[M]^\omega$ .

- ▶ i.e. for every algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M$ , there is an  $N \in R$  with  $N \prec \mathcal{A}$ .

“For every regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ , every stationary  $R \subset [\theta]^\omega$  reflects to an  $M$  of size  $\omega_1$ ”:

- ▶ has powerful consequences if  $\theta$  is large, e.g. failure of square,  $NS_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous and more (F-M-S)
- ▶ follows from MM (Foreman-Magidor-Shelah)
- ▶ holds in  $V^{Col(\omega_1, < \kappa)}$  where  $\kappa$  is supercompact

## Stationary reflection and condensation of NS

“ $R$  reflects to  $M$ ” is equivalent to saying that the transitive collapse of  $M$  is *correct* about the stationarity of the preimage of  $R$ . (assuming  $M$  is sufficiently approachable)

## Stationary reflection and condensation of NS

“ $R$  reflects to  $M$ ” is equivalent to saying that the transitive collapse of  $M$  is *correct* about the stationarity of the preimage of  $R$ . (assuming  $M$  is sufficiently approachable)

**Proof:** ( $\implies$ ): Suppose  $R \in M$  reflects to  $M$ . Let  $\sigma : \bar{H} \rightarrow M$  and  $\sigma(\bar{R}) = R$ . So  $\bar{H} \models “\bar{R} \text{ is stationary.}”$

NTS:  $\bar{R}$  is really stationary.

- ▶ In  $V$  let  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = (\bar{H}, (\bar{f}_n)_{n \in \omega})$
- ▶ Need to find a  $\bar{N} \prec \bar{\mathcal{A}}$  s.t.  $\bar{N} \in \bar{R}$
- ▶ Use  $\sigma$  to transfer  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  to a structure  $\mathcal{A} = (M \cap H_\theta, (f_n)_n)$ .
- ▶ Since  $R \cap [M]^\omega$  is stationary and  $M \cap [M]^\omega$  contains a club (this is the approachability requirement on  $M$ ), there is an  $N \in R \cap M \cap [M]^\omega$  such that  $N \prec \mathcal{A}$ .
- ▶ Then  $\sigma^{-1}(N) \in \bar{R}$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(N) \prec \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ .

## Other characterizations, and generic ultrapowers

Let  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$  be stationary, and  $Z := \{M \prec H_\Omega \mid M \cap H_\theta \in IC_{\omega_1}\}$  (where  $\Omega \gg \theta$ ; note  $Z$  is stationary). TFAE:

1.  $R$  reflects to stationarily many  $M \in Z$ .
2. There are stationarily many  $M \in Z$  such that  $R$  **condenses correctly** on  $M$ ;
3. There is a stationary  $S \subset Z$  such that whenever  $j : V \rightarrow_G ult(V, G)$  is a generic ultrapower with  $S \in G$ , then  $R$  remains stationary in  $ult(V, G)$ .
  - ▶ not necessarily in  $V[G]$
  - ▶ note:  $cr(j) = \omega_2$

## Other characterizations, and generic ultrapowers

Let  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$  be stationary, and  $Z := \{M \prec H_\Omega \mid M \cap H_\theta \in \text{IC}_{\omega_1}\}$  (where  $\Omega \gg \theta$ ; note  $Z$  is stationary). TFAE:

1.  $R$  reflects to stationarily many  $M \in Z$ .
2. There are stationarily many  $M \in Z$  such that  $R$  **condenses correctly on  $M$** ;
3. There is a stationary  $S \subset Z$  such that whenever  $j : V \rightarrow_G \text{ult}(V, G)$  is a generic ultrapower with  $S \in G$ , then  $R$  remains stationary in  $\text{ult}(V, G)$ .
  - ▶ not necessarily in  $V[G]$
  - ▶ note:  $\text{cr}(j) = \omega_2$

The last characterization can be generalized (i.e. there is some normal filter  $F$  extending the club filter such that whenever  $U$  is a  $V$ -normal ultrafilter extending  $F$ ,  $R$  remains stationary in  $\text{ult}(V, U)$ .)

# The Diagonal Reflection Principle (DRP)

## Definition

(C.) Let  $Z$  be a class of  $\omega_1$ -sized sets (e.g.  $Z = \text{IA}_{\omega_1}$  or  $Z = \text{IC}_{\omega_1}$ ).  $\text{DRP}(\theta, Z)$  means that there are stationarily many  $M \prec H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}$  such that:

- ▶  $M \cap H_\theta \in Z$
- ▶  $R$  reflects to  $M$  for every stationary  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$  which is an element of  $M$ .

# The Diagonal Reflection Principle (DRP)

## Definition

(C.) Let  $Z$  be a class of  $\omega_1$ -sized sets (e.g.  $Z = \text{IA}_{\omega_1}$  or  $Z = \text{IC}_{\omega_1}$ ).  $\text{DRP}(\theta, Z)$  means that there are stationarily many  $M \prec H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}$  such that:

- ▶  $M \cap H_\theta \in Z$
- ▶  $R$  reflects to  $M$  for every stationary  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$  which is an element of  $M$ .

For the rest of the talk, we fix  $Z = \text{IC}_{\omega_1}$  and omit reference to it.  $\text{DRP}$  means  $\text{DRP}(\theta)$  holds for all regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ .



# Characterizations of DRP

## Theorem

*TFAE:*

1.  $DRP(\theta)$
2. *There are stationarily many  $M$  such that  $NS \upharpoonright [M \cap H_\theta]^\omega$  condenses correctly via  $M$ .*
3. *There is a stationary  $S$  such that whenever  $j : V \rightarrow_G ult(V, G)$  is a generic ultrapower with  $S \in G$ , then all stationary subsets of  $[H_\theta]^\omega$  from  $V$  remain stationary in  $ult(V, G)$* 
  - ▶ *not necessarily in  $V[G]$ !*
  - ▶ *again,  $cr(j) = \omega_2$*

# Characterizations of DRP

## Theorem

*TFAE:*

1.  $DRP(\theta)$
2. *There are stationarily many  $M$  such that  $NS \upharpoonright [M \cap H_\theta]^\omega$  condenses correctly via  $M$ .*
3. *There is a stationary  $S$  such that whenever  $j : V \rightarrow_G ult(V, G)$  is a generic ultrapower with  $S \in G$ , then all stationary subsets of  $[H_\theta]^\omega$  from  $V$  remain stationary in  $ult(V, G)$* 
  - ▶ *not necessarily in  $V[G]$ !*
  - ▶ *again,  $cr(j) = \omega_2$*

So  $DRP(\theta)$  is a weaker version of the following statement:

“There is an ideal  $I$  such that  $(I^+, \subset)$  is a proper forcing.”

## Proper ideal forcings and well-determined generic embeddings with critical point $\omega_2$

Suppose  $I$  is an ideal over  $\wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  such that  $(I^+, \subset)$  is proper; it is known this implies  $I$  is precipitous. Let  $j : V \rightarrow_G \text{ult}(V, G)$  be generic ultrapower; note  $\text{cr}(j) = \omega_2$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta} := \text{sup}(j''\theta)$ .

Let  $\vec{S}$  partition  $\theta \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  into  $\theta$  stationary sets, and *assume*  $j(\vec{S}) \in V$ . Then  $j \upharpoonright \theta \in V$ .

## Proper ideal forcings and well-determined generic embeddings with critical point $\omega_2$

Suppose  $I$  is an ideal over  $\wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  such that  $(I^+, \subset)$  is proper; it is known this implies  $I$  is precipitous. Let  $j : V \rightarrow_G ult(V, G)$  be generic ultrapower; note  $cr(j) = \omega_2$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta} := sup(j''\theta)$ .

Let  $\vec{S}$  partition  $\theta \cap cof(\omega)$  into  $\theta$  stationary sets, and *assume*  $j(\vec{S}) \in V$ . Then  $j \upharpoonright \theta \in V$ .

- ▶ Because  $j''\theta = \{\eta < j(\theta) \mid j(\vec{S})_\eta \text{ reflects at } \tilde{\theta}\}$ ; this is primarily because:
  - ▶ properness of  $I^+$  implies for every  $i < \theta$ ,  $S_i$  remains stationary in  $ult(V, G)$  and so  $j''S_i$  is stationary there as well (note  $j \upharpoonright H_\theta \in ult(V, G)$ ).

# DRP and well-determined generic embeddings with critical point $\omega_2$

*Aside from assuming  $j(\vec{S}) \in V$  and some degree of precipitousness, the points from the previous slide used only very weak consequences of “ $(I^+, \subset)$  is proper.”*

In particular, minor variations of DRP can be used instead of the “ $(I^+, \subset)$  is proper” assumption.

- ▶ And variations of DRP follow from MM (later).

# Chang ideals and DRP

(maybe skip)...

# Forcing Axioms and “Plus” versions

## Definition

$MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$  means for every  $\omega_1$ -sized collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of dense sets and every  $\alpha$ -sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \dot{S}_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{P}$ -names of stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ , there is a filter  $F$  which:

- ▶ meets every set in  $\mathcal{D}$
- ▶ evaluates each name in  $\mathcal{S}$  as a stationary set (i.e.  $(\dot{S}_i)_F := \{\beta < \omega_1 \mid (\exists q \in F)(q \Vdash \check{\beta} \in \dot{S}_i)\}$  is stationary for each  $i < \alpha$ ).

$MA^{+\alpha}(\Gamma)$  means  $MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$  holds for every  $\mathbb{P} \in \Gamma$ .

# Forcing Axioms and “Plus” versions

## Definition

$MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$  means for every  $\omega_1$ -sized collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of dense sets and every  $\alpha$ -sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \dot{S}_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{P}$ -names of stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ , there is a filter  $F$  which:

- ▶ meets every set in  $\mathcal{D}$
- ▶ evaluates each name in  $\mathcal{S}$  as a stationary set (i.e.  $(\dot{S}_i)_F := \{\beta < \omega_1 \mid (\exists q \in F)(q \Vdash \check{\beta} \in \dot{S}_i)\}$  is stationary for each  $i < \alpha$ ).

$MA^{+\alpha}(\Gamma)$  means  $MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$  holds for every  $\mathbb{P} \in \Gamma$ .

- ▶  $MA^+(\Gamma)$  means  $MA^{+1}(\Gamma)$ .
- ▶ What I'm calling  $MA^{+\omega_1}(\Gamma)$  appears sometimes in the literature as  $MA^{++}(\Gamma)$  (and in Baumgartner's original article as just one “plus” ...)



# Forcing Axioms and reflection

## Theorem

(Baumgartner)  $MA^{+\omega_1}$  ( $\sigma$ -closed posets) implies that for every regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ , every  $\omega_1$ -sized collection of stationary subsets of  $\theta \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  have a common reflection point of cofinality  $\omega_1$ .

- ▶ Even for just  $\theta = \omega_3$  the consistency strength of this kind of reflection is not known, but requires at least measurable cardinals of high Mitchell order.

## Theorem

(Foreman-Magidor-Shelah):  $MM$  implies every stationary  $R \subset [H_\theta]^\omega$  reflects to stationarily many sets in  $IA_{\omega_1}$ .

# Forcing Axioms and DRP

## Theorem

(C.) Assume  $MA^{+\omega_1}$  ( $\sigma$ -closed posets). Then  $DRP(\theta)$  holds for every regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ .

# Forcing Axioms and DRP

## Theorem

(C.) Assume  $MA^{+\omega_1}$  ( $\sigma$ -closed posets). Then  $DRP(\theta)$  holds for every regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ .

## Theorem

(C.) Assume  $MM$ . Then  $wDRP(\theta)$  holds for every regular  $\theta \geq \omega_2$ .

# A nice characterization of forcing axioms

## Theorem

(Woodin) TFAE for any separative poset  $\mathbb{P}$  (here  $\theta \gg |\mathbb{P}|$ ):

1.  $MA(\mathbb{P})$
2.  $S_{\mathbb{P}}$  is stationary, where  $S_{\mathbb{P}} := \{M \prec H_{\theta} \mid \omega_1 \subset M \text{ and } (\exists g)(g \text{ is an } (M, \mathbb{P})\text{-generic filter})\}$

(similar version for  $MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$ )

# A nice characterization of forcing axioms

## Theorem

(Woodin) TFAE for any separative poset  $\mathbb{P}$  (here  $\theta \gg |\mathbb{P}|$ ):

1.  $MA(\mathbb{P})$
2.  $S_{\mathbb{P}}$  is stationary, where  $S_{\mathbb{P}} := \{M \prec H_{\theta} \mid \omega_1 \subset M \text{ and } (\exists g)(g \text{ is an } (M, \mathbb{P})\text{-generic filter})\}$

(similar version for  $MA^{+\alpha}(\mathbb{P})$ )

- ▶ In particular, if say  $PFA$  holds then for every proper  $\mathbb{P}$  there is a normal filter  $F_{\mathbb{P}}$  concentrating on  $S_{\mathbb{P}}$ .
- ▶ (Shelah) However in ZFC there are proper  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $S_{\mathbb{P}} \cap S_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is nonstationary.

## $MA(\Gamma)$ and ideals whose associated posets are in $\Gamma$

QUESTION: Is  $MA(\Gamma)$  consistent with the existence of ideals such that  $(I^+, \subset) \in \Gamma$ ?

## $MA(\Gamma)$ and ideals whose associated posets are in $\Gamma$

QUESTION: Is  $MA(\Gamma)$  consistent with the existence of ideals such that  $(I^+, \subset) \in \Gamma$ ?

It is well-known that in  $V^{Col(\omega_1, < \kappa)}$  where  $\kappa$  is supercompact:

- ▶  $MA^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$  holds
- ▶ There are filters  $F$  on  $\wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  such that  $(F^+, \subset)$  is equivalent to a  $\sigma$ -closed forcing.

# $MA(\Gamma)$ and ideals whose associated posets are in $\Gamma$

QUESTION: Is  $MA(\Gamma)$  consistent with the existence of ideals such that  $(I^+, \subset) \in \Gamma$ ?

It is well-known that in  $V^{Col(\omega_1, < \kappa)}$  where  $\kappa$  is supercompact:

- ▶  $MA^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$  holds
- ▶ There are filters  $F$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  such that  $(F^+, \subset)$  is equivalent to a  $\sigma$ -closed forcing.

But also:

## Theorem

*(C.) It is consistent with a superhuge cardinal that PFA holds and for each proper  $\mathbb{P}$  there is a normal filter  $F_{\mathbb{P}}$  concentrating on  $S_{\mathbb{P}}$  such that  $(F_{\mathbb{P}}^+, \subset)$  is a proper forcing.*



## When $(I^+, \subset)$ completely embeds into another ideal forcing

By the Woodin characterization of  $MA(\Gamma)$ , if  $MA(\Gamma)$  holds and  $I$  is an ideal such that  $(I^+, \subset) \in \Gamma$ , then  $(I^+, \subset)$  completely embeds into another ideal forcing.

- ▶ namely, into the poset for  $NS \upharpoonright S_{(I^+, \subset)}$

It is natural to ask if this complete embedding can be the same as the “lifting” map in the Rudin-Keisler sense.

## When $(I^+, \subset)$ completely embeds into another ideal forcing

By the Woodin characterization of  $MA(\Gamma)$ , if  $MA(\Gamma)$  holds and  $I$  is an ideal such that  $(I^+, \subset) \in \Gamma$ , then  $(I^+, \subset)$  completely embeds into another ideal forcing.

- ▶ namely, into the poset for  $NS \upharpoonright S_{(I^+, \subset)}$

It is natural to ask if this complete embedding can be the same as the “lifting” map in the Rudin-Keisler sense.

Partial positive answer: there is a model of PFA starting from a super-2-huge cardinal, where there are  $I, J$  where both  $(I^+, \subset)$  and  $(J^+, \subset)$  are proper,  $I$  is the projection of  $J$  in the Rudin-Keisler sense, and this projection is also a *forcing* projection.

(I don't know if we can arrange that  $J$  is the NS ideal...)

Proof:  $PFA^{+\omega_1}$  (just  $MA^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$ ) implies  $DRP(\theta)$ .

$\mathbb{Q}$  := continuous countable chains of models from  $H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}$ , ordered by end-extension.

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{Q}$  be generic and  $\langle N_\alpha^G \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  the generic object. Note that in  $V[G]$ :  $|H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}^V| = \omega_1$ .

Let  $\langle \dot{R}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a name for enumeration of all stationary subsets of  $[\theta]^\omega$  from the ground model.

$\dot{S}_\alpha$  := indices of the models in  $\dot{R}_\alpha$ .

Proof:  $PFA^{+\omega_1}$  (just  $MA^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$ ) implies  $DRP(\theta)$ .

$\mathbb{Q}$  := continuous countable chains of models from  $H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}$ , ordered by end-extension.

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{Q}$  be generic and  $\langle N_\alpha^G \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  the generic object. Note that in  $V[G]$ :  $|H_{(\theta^\omega)^+}^V| = \omega_1$ .

Let  $\langle \dot{R}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a name for enumeration of all stationary subsets of  $[\theta]^\omega$  from the ground model.

$\dot{S}_\alpha$  := indices of the models in  $\dot{R}_\alpha$ .

Each  $\dot{S}_\alpha$  names a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$  (b/c  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed so the set named by  $\dot{R}_\alpha$  remains stationary).

proof, cont.

Let  $S_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \wp_{\omega_2}(H_{(\theta^\omega)^+})$  be the stationary set from the characterization of  $MA^{+\omega_1}$ .

So for every  $M \in S_{\mathbb{Q}}$ :  $\omega_1 \subset M$  and there is a  $g$  which is  $(M, \mathbb{Q})$ -generic such that  $(\dot{S}_\alpha)_g$  is stationary for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

## proof, cont.

Let  $S_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \wp_{\omega_2}(H_{(\theta^\omega)^+})$  be the stationary set from the characterization of  $MA^{+\omega_1}$ .

So for every  $M \in S_{\mathbb{Q}}$ :  $\omega_1 \subset M$  and there is a  $g$  which is  $(M, \mathbb{Q})$ -generic such that  $(\dot{S}_\alpha)_g$  is stationary for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

Fix such an  $M$  and  $g$ .

Note  $\vec{N}^g$  witnesses that a large initial segment of  $M$  is internally approachable. (density argument)

Let  $R \in M$  be a stationary subset of  $[H_\theta]^\omega$ . Then  $R = \dot{R}_\alpha^g$  for some  $\alpha$ .

So  $R \cap [M]^\omega$  contains the models in the generic chain indexed by  $S_\alpha^g$ .

# MM implies wDRP

Sketch: (forcing and proof rely heavily on Foreman's paper):

Conditions of the form  $\langle f(\beta), N_\beta \mid \beta \leq \delta \rangle$  where (fix some maximal antichain  $\langle T_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  which is pairwise disjoint):

1.  $\delta < \omega_1$
2.  $\vec{N}$  continuous  $\in$ -chain
3.  $f : \delta + 1 \rightarrow H_{\theta^+}$
4. For every  $\beta < \delta$ :
  - ▶ If  $f(\beta)$  is a stationary subset of  $\theta \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$ , then for all limit  $\beta' \in (\beta, \delta] \cap T_\beta$  require that  $\text{sup}(N_{\beta'} \cap \theta) \in f(\beta)$ .

## outline of proof

- ▶  $D_R := \{(f, \vec{M}) \mid R \in \text{range}(f)\}$  is dense
- ▶  $D_\alpha := \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \alpha < \delta^q\}$  is dense for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$
- ▶ stationary set preservation



## outline of proof

- ▶  $D_R := \{(f, \vec{M}) \mid R \in \text{range}(f)\}$  is dense
- ▶  $D_\alpha := \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \alpha < \delta^q\}$  is dense for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$
- ▶ stationary set preservation

Then let  $S_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the stationary set of  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(H_{\theta^+})$  for which a generic exists.

- ▶ Every  $R \in M$  is of the form  $f^{\mathcal{G}M}(\beta)$  some  $\beta < \omega_1$
- ▶ So the points in the generic chain indexed by  $T_\beta$  (above  $\beta$ ) witness that  $R$  reflects to  $\sup(M \cap \theta)$ .

# Final remarks

## Corollary

*Strong forcing axioms imply there are generic embeddings which weakly resemble generic embeddings by proper ideal forcings.*

# Final remarks

## Corollary

*Strong forcing axioms imply there are generic embeddings which weakly resemble generic embeddings by proper ideal forcings.*

Similar ideas can use  $MM^{+\omega_1}$  to form a kind of product of certain s.s.p. forcings.

## Final remarks

Assume MM and that for each stationary set preserving  $\mathbb{P}$  there is a precipitous ideal whose dual concentrates on  $S_{\mathbb{P}}$ .

- ▶ What more can we say about these generic embeddings?
- ▶ e.g. when  $\mathbb{P}$  is the s.s.p. poset from above used to show MM implies diagonal reflection?